1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 9 JESUS GONZALEZ-SOTO, 10 Petitioner, Case No. 3:14-cv-00304-RCJ-WGC 11 VS. **ORDER** ISIDRO BACA, et al., 12 13 Respondents. 14 15 On February 12, 2016, the court granted respondents' motion to dismiss (#19), ruling that petitioner had not exhausted his available state-court remedies for all of his grounds for relief. Order 16 17 (#26). The court instructed petitioner to declare what he wanted to do with the unexhausted 18 grounds. The court also stated that it would dismiss the action if petitioner did not respond. 19 Petitioner has not responded within the allotted time. The court will dismiss this action without 20 prejudice. The court makes no statement about the applicability of any procedural defense if 21 petitioner files another habeas corpus petition that challenges his custody pursuant to the same state-22 court judgment of conviction. 23 Reasonable jurists would not find this conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and the court will not issue a certificate of appealability. 24 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

Case 3:14-cv-00304-RCJ-WGC Document 27 Filed 04/12/16 Page 2 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is ${\bf DISMISSED}$ with prejudice for petitioners' failure to exhaust his available state-court remedies for all of his grounds for relief. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment accordingly and close this action. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. DATED: This 12th day of April, 2016. ROBERT C. JONES United States District Judge